

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 GENEVA 03333 081309Z

50

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 IO-10 ISO-00 EURE-00 CU-02 SAM-01 SSO-00

NSCE-00 INRE-00 USIE-00 CIAE-00 PM-03 INR-07 L-02

ACDA-05 NSAE-00 PA-01 PRS-01 SP-02 TRSE-00 SAJ-01

DODE-00 H-02 NSC-05 SS-15 NEA-09 AF-06 /085 W

----- 065780

O R 081203Z MAY 75

FM USMISSION GENEVA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 2739

INFO AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

USMISSION NATO

C O N F I D E N T I A L GENEVA 3333

EO: 11652: GDS

TAGS: CSCE PFOR XG

SUBJ: CSCE POLICY: GLOBAL INITIATIVE FOR HUMAN CONTACTS AND
INFORMATION

1. SUMMARY: THIS CABLE REPORTS GROWING WESTERN DESIRE FOR A
GLOBAL INITIATIVE WITH THE SOVIETS ON ALL HUMAN CONTACTS AND
INFORMATION TEXTS, SUGGESTS OPTIONS FOR US ATTITUDE, RECOMMENDS
THAT WE ACCEPT GLOBAL INITIATIVE AT LEAST PARTIALLY, AND REQUESTS
GUIDANCE IN TIME FOR NATO CAUCUS ON MONDAY, MAY 12. END SUMMARY.

2. WESTERN AND NEUTRAL DELS ARE INCREASINGLY INTERESTED IN PRE-
SENTING TO THE SOVIETS AS SOON AS POSSIBLE A GLOBAL TEXT COVERING
ALL REMAINING HUMAN CONTACTS AND INFORMATION SUBJECTS, ALTHOUGH
THERE ARE SEVERAL KEY NATO DELS WHO ARE NOT YET CONVINCED SUCH A
COURSE WOULD BE WISE. SEVEN EC-NINE DELS, LED BY DANES AND UK,
FAVOR GLOBAL APPROACH, WHICH WOULD USE TEXTS ALREADY AGREED IN
NATO CAUCUS, AND THUS WOULD BE WITHIN SUBSTANTIVE INSTRUCTIONS
WE HAVE RECEIVED THUS FAR. INITIATIVE WOULD BE PRESENTED AS A
PACKAGE, WITH ALL HUMAN CONTACTS AND INFORMATION PROVISIONS LINK-
ED. IN VIEW OF DANISH AND UK DELS, PACKAGE WOULD NOT BE A TAKE-
IT-OR-LEAVE-IT PROPOSITION; HOWEVER, IT WOULD BE MADE CLEAR TO
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 GENEVA 03333 081309Z

SOVIETS THAT IF THEY REJECT IT, PROPOSE SWEEPING CHANGES, OR SEEK

TO DEAL WITH THE TEXTS "A LA CARTE", THE WEST WOULD WITHDRAW THE ENTIRE PROPOSAL AND RETURN TO STEP BY STEP NEGOTIATIONS WITH OBVIOUS IMPLICATIONS FOR SPEED OF OVERALL CONFERENCE PROGRESS. NETHERLANDS AND FRANCE HAVE EXPRESSED WILLINGNESS TO FOLLOW FIFTEEN DECISION ON THE PROPOSAL, BUT HAVE SUGGESTED THAT AN OVERALL APPROACH ON HUMAN CONTACTS ALONE WOULD BE MORE PRUDENT AND APPROPRIATE, IN VIEW OF MORE ADVANCED STATE OF NEGOTIATIONS IN THAT SUBJECT AREA. NEUTRALS ALSO FAVOR AN INITIATIVE LIMITED FOR THE TIME BEING TO HUMAN CONTACTS. SOVIETS, WHO INEVITABLY HAVE HEARD OF THE GLOBALIZATION IDEA, APPARENTLY DO NOT LIKE IT AT ALL, AND WOULD PREFER A CONTINUATION OF SUBJECT-BY-SUBJECT NEGOTIATIONS.

4. THOSE WHO FAVOR A GLOBAL APPROACH ARGUE THAT IT WOULD PUT MAXIMUM PRESSURE ON THE SOVIETS TO COME TO REASONABLE TERMS ON HUMAN CONTACTS AND POSSIBLY ALSO INFORMATION AT A TIME WHICH MAY WELL APPEAR TO THE EAST AS THE OPTIMUM MOMENT FOR WORKING OUT A DEAL ON THESE SUBJECTS -- SIX WEEKS PRIOR TO THEIR PREFERRED DATE FOR STAGE III, AND TWO WEEKS BEFORE THE NATO SUMMIT WHEN HIGH LEVEL VIEWS ON TIMING WILL ALMOST CERTAINLY BE FORMED. THE MAIN ARGUMENT AGAINST THE GLOBAL APPROACH IS THAT IT WOULD MAKE IT RELATIVELY EASY FOR THE SOVIETS TO PICK WHAT THEY LIKED, AND CONTINUE NEGOTIATING ON THE REST. IN SHORT WE WOULD FIND OURSELVES NEGOTIATING DOWNWARD FROM OUR FINAL POSITIONS.

5. AT THE SAME TIME, PRESSURES FROM OUR ALLIES AND THE NEUTRALS ARE DEVELOPING FOR SOME SORT OF GLOBAL INITIATIVE, WHICH THEY BELIEVE IS NOW NEEDED TO MOVE THE CONFERENCE FORWARD IN TIME FOR A STAGE III THIS SUMMER. WHILE WE ARE NOT SURE AN OVERALL INITIATIVE ON HUMAN CONTACTS AND INFORMATION WOULD HAVE THAT EFFECT, THE TIME MAY WELL BE RIGHT FOR A GLOBAL INITIATIVE ON HUMAN CONTACTS ALONE, WHERE THERE ARE ONLY ONE OR TWO PROBLEMS REMAINING IN THE INTRODUCTORY AND TRAVEL TEXTS. IN ADDITION, IF THE CURRENT TREND CONTINUES WE COULD SHORTLY FIND OURSELVES IN THE POSITION OF BEING THE ONLY HOLDOUT NOT IN FAVOR OF A GLOBAL INITIATIVE OF SOME SORT.

6. IN THIS SITUATION WE SEE THE FOLLOWING OPTIONS FOR A US APPROACH:

A. ACCEPT GLOBAL INITIATIVE ON HUMAN CONTACTS AND INFORMATION -- WE WOULD THUS JOIN THE MAJORITY OF OUR ALLIES, AND POSSIBLY CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 GENEVA 03333 081309Z

ADD A MAJOR PUSH TO CONFERENCE PROGRESS. SINCE OUR SUBSTANTIVE POSITIONS WOULD BE REFLECTED IN THE GLOBAL TEXT, THEY WOULD BE PROTECTED. ON THE OTHER HAND SUCH A COMPLEX INITIATIVE MIGHT WELL CONFUSE THE SITUATION AND BE DIFFICULT FOR THE SOVIETS TO SWALLOW. THEY COULD IN ANY CASE PICK WHAT THEY LIKE AND NEGOTIATE ON THE REST. IN SHORT THIS OPTION MIGHT -- WE EMPHASIZE MIGHT -- PRODUCE FASTEST CONCLUSION OF BASKET III WORK, AND WORST SUBSTANTIVE RESULTS.

B. ACCEPT GLOBAL INITIATIVE ON HUMAN CONTACTS ONLY -- THIS WOULD SATISFY OUR ALLIES TO A CERTAIN EXTENT AND HAS A REASONABLE CHANCE OF PRODUCING A SATISFACTORY RESULT. IT COULD BE A STIMULUS TO CONFERENCE WORK, AND WOULD BE SIMPLER FOR THE SOVIETS TO DEAL WITH. IT WOULD ALSO ALLOW FOR MORE CAREFUL PREPARATION OF A POSSIBLE GLOBAL INITIATIVE ON INFORMATION AT A LATER DATE. HOWEVER, IT WOULD ANNOY UK, DANES AND OTHER ALLIES WHO ARE INTERESTED IN A RAPID CONCLUSION TO BASKET III.

C. INSIST ON CONTINUING SUBJECT-BY-SUBJECT NEGOTIATIONS -- THIS WOULD BE MOST CAREFUL APPROACH AND WOULD CONFORM TO PREFERENCE SOVIETS HAVE EXPRESSED. HOWEVER, IT WOULD IRRITATE VIRTUALLY ALL ALLIES AND NEUTRALS AND WOULD PROBABLY SLOW THINGS DOWN STILL FURTHER. THERE IS NO GUARANTEE THAT IT WOULD PRODUCE BETTER RESULTS.

7. OUR PREFERENCE WOULD BE TO ACCEPT OPTION B NOW, WITH UNDERSTANDING THAT A GLOBAL INITIATIVE COULD FOLLOW AT A SOMEWHAT LATER DATE IF HUMAN CONTACTS INITIATIVE IS SUCCESSFUL. SHOULD WE BE COMPLETELY ISOLATED IN PREFERMING THIS COURSE OF ACTION, WE WOULD RECOMMEND ACCEPTING OPTION A, EXPLAINING OUR REASONS FOR DOING SO PRIVATELY TO SOVIETS. WE WOULD APPRECIATE GUIDANCE ON THIS ISSUE IN TIME FOR NEXT NATO CAUCUS MONDAY, MAY 12.ABRAMS

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptoning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: POLICIES, CULTURAL EXCHANGES, MEETING REPORTS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 08 MAY 1975
Decapton Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decapton Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: GolinoFR
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975GENEVA03333
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D750161-1000
From: GENEVA
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750570/aaaaclys.tel
Line Count: 137
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION EUR
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: GolinoFR
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 17 JUN 2003
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <17 JUN 2003 by izenbei0>; APPROVED <18 JUN 2003 by GolinoFR>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
05 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: CSCE POLICY: GLOBAL INITIATIVE FOR HUMAN CONTACTS AND INFORMATION
TAGS: PFOR, XG, UR, CSCE, NATO
To: STATE
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006